2783

RECEIVED

2009 NOV 13 PM 2: 16

**NOVEMBER 12, 2009** 

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

Environmental Quality Board PO Box 8477 Harrisburg PA 17105-8477

RE: Public Comments for Proposed Chapter 102 Regulations

To Whom It May Concern:

The following comments are suggested by the Franklin County Conservation District in regards to the proposed changes to the Commonwealth's Chapter 102 regulations. The Franklin County Conservation District appreciates the opportunity to comment and the efforts put forth by the Department of Environmental Protection during the revision process.

Respectfully Submitted for your review

Mary Ann Shaffer
Franklin County Conservation District
Erosion and Sediment Control Specialist

**Cc: County Conservation District Managers** 

Franklin County Conservation District's Comments on Chapter 102 Regulations

The ABACT definition is greatly appreciated and having the specifics in the new E&S manual will be a great benefit.

Chapter 102.3 (a) (4) - "Cost effective" should be removed

Chapter 102.4 (a) (4) (ii) - % of coverage should be higher than 25% cover

**Recommendation** – Should just leave AG"E&S Plan" just as the conservation plan. This will elevate confusion with the farmer, renter, etc. The true conservation plan has maps, soils, schedule of implementation. However – this is something that would need to be enforced in the Agricultural community.

What training will be provided for plan preparer's and District staff for developing and reviewing conservation plans?

Who will be responsible for inspection of agricultural sites?

Will DEP still be responsible for the enforcement of AG activities or will that fall under another agency?

Has there been coordination with other governmental agencies to discuss the AG issues – such as development, inspection, etc

Chapter 102.4 (b) (4) - Need to remove "after consultation with the Dept", there are many changes that occur in the field, because the plan does not show the existing field conditions. If need to leave in, then put some type of clarification, moving construction entrance, silt soc, silt fence, stock piles, would be ok for the District to make, but any structural BMP, then that may need consultation with the Dept.

Chapter 102.6 (b) - Permit fees - Need to include some type of reference to permit renewals.

Also, will District be able to charge fees for review of the Ag E&S plans and others to offset the cost of the program?

Chapter 102.6 (b) (2) – Fee increase seems to be very substantial. We would recommend a tier approach for the smaller developments in order to be cost effective. The tier approach could be based on the proximity to waters of the commonwealth, percent slope, amount of disturbance, etc.

Chapter 102.8 – PCSM plan – Suggest having some type of ABACT BMPs for sites discharging into EV/HQ watersheds like the ABACT for E&S.

Chapter 102.8 (f) (10) – Long term maintenance should be incorporated in a document that gets recorded with the plan, so when a potential buyer does a title search it will show up as a responsibility to maintain.

**Chapter 102.8 (I)** – Please clarify what is meant by "redline drawings". Where are these plans to be stored?

Chapter 102.8 (m) - Please clarify what is meant by "covenant"

Chapter 102.14 (a) (3) – Please clarify what is meant by discharges to a riparian forest. Most riparian areas have slopes and do not have undergrowth that will support any type of discharge.

Chapter 102.15 (c) (3) - Being able to open up 15 acres at once has a very high potential for pollution.

DEP compliance staff may need to increase in number due to the PBR not having the E&S reviewed by a technical person.

**SUGGESTION**: Permit by Rule should be a permit that could be used for 5,000 sq. ft to 5 acres of disturbance. The fee for this could be more reasonable for this type of development.

Chapter 102.22 (b) - Temporary stabilization – 3 days is not an acceptable reality. This could be a Holiday weekend. A 7 to 10 day cessation would be a better time frame for temporary stabilization.

Chapter 102.41; 102.42; 102.43 - Responsibility of Local Government -

**Recommendation** – Develop regulation guideline to tie the local governing bodies into post construction stormwater, by requiring them to develop guidelines consistent with the state's requirements.

2783

## Chambers, Laura M.

RECEIVED

From:

Mary Ann Shaffer [mshaffer1@embargmail.com]

Sent: To:

Thursday, November 12, 2009 2:08 PM EP, RegComments

Subject: Franklin County Comments on chapt 102

2009 NOV 13 PM 2: 16

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

Mary Ann Shaffer

185 Franklin Farm Lane Chambersburg PA 17202 ph: 717-264-5499

fax: 717-264-5482

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE - This email message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

	e
	,
į.	-
2	
	C
	of with a different columns.
	La California de
	To the second se
	OUR MODERN VALUE AND
	Service of the servic
	CORNEL POR CONTRACTOR
	WD HARDAY BAKERANA
:	
÷	CAPTER AND CONTRACTOR
	AND CONTRACT
	STROMARICH COTTON ACTION
	CONORUS PREPARA (Belgia
	SSC year enemal sSC lines
	habenson-Carre Privates